

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/912,498		07/26/2001	Hironori Fujioka	211606US3DIV 7874 EXAMINER	
22850	7590	03/03/2004	3		
OBLON, S	PIVAK,	MCCLELLAND, N	ANDREWS, MELVYN J		
1940 DUKE ALEXAND	-			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1742	
ALEXANIO.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
The control of the co	09/912,498	FUJIOKA ET AL.	)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melvyn J. Andrews	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
noticed for Penly			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re bly within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	(30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	14/2003		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/	s action is non-final.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	rance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 9-13 and 23-29 is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 23-29</u> is/are rejected.		ž	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Vor election requirement.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	J/Of Election requirements		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami  10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the cor	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)∟ the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	l).
Delarity under 35 H.S.C. 88 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the property application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. The sents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The sentic priority under 35 U.S.C. as provisional application has received.	Application No  In received in this National Stage  It received.  It § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Shebeen received.  It so the stage of the stag	Ć <sup>*</sup>
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>212200</u> f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>14</u> .

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9, 10 and 23 to 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The step of "continuously forming a bed covering layer... with insulating material particles" as in Claim 9 and the step of "continuously forming a bed covering layer by continuously charging insulating material..." as in Claim 23 is new matter.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 17, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The expression "continuously" appears only once in the specification and does not refer to charging <u>insulating material</u>.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 9, 10, 23, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotraba et al (US 5,186,741). Kotraba et al discloses a direct

Art Unit: 1742

reduction process in a rotary hearth furnace comprising forming green pellets which are fed over a layer of burnt pellets, granular limestone or granular dolomite on a rotary hearth furnace which conveys the pellets first through a drying and coking zone in which the pellets are dried then travel through a reduction zone and ultimately carried to a discharge zone thereby producing un-fused pellets of reduced iron (col.1, lines 49 to 64) but Kotraba et al does not describe the layer of burnt pellets, granular limestone or granular dolomite as being formed immediately before charging the green pellets but since the green pellets were fed onto the layer it is obvious that the layer was formed before feeding the green pellets into the rotary hearth furnace; furthermore, the step of drying does not intervene between the step of feeding a green pellet and the step of having formed a layer, therefore the Kotraba et al formation of the layer is considered as having been formed immediately before charging the green pellets.

With respect to the step of "continuously forming a bed covering layer ...with insulating material particles" as in Claim 9 and the step of "continuously forming a bed covering layer by continuously charging insulating material..." as in Claim 23 these limitations do not patentably distinguish the claimed processes from the Kotraba et al process because it has been held that it is well within the expected skill of the technician to operate a process continuously. In re Dilnot 138 USPQ 248, page 752.

With respect to Claim 27 Kotraba et al discloses forming green pellets approximately 12 to 15 mm in diameter which within the claimed range.

With respect to Claim 28 Kotraba et al discloses that "no more 3 to 4 green pellets" in thickness overlaps the claimed single or double layer.

Art Unit: 1742

Claims 25 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotraba et al. as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Hanewald et al. (US 4,597,564). Kotraba et al. does not disclose the size of the granular dolomite used to form the layer or the depth of the layer but Hanewald et al. discloses loose granular refractory material comprising dead burned dolomite having "a grain size of 100% minus 1 cm" to form an insulative layer it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to form the Kotraba et layer with "dead burned dolomite grain" (col.3, lines (41 to 46) such as disclosed by Hanewald et al. because Kotraba et al. requires "granular dolomite": furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the depth of the Kotraba et al. layer formed from a refractory material such as "dead burned dolomite having "a grain size of 100% minus 1 cm" since the thickness of the insulation is a result effective variable with respect to damaging the hearth as evidenced by Hanewald. (col.1, lines 10-65). In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

With respect to the step of "continuously forming a bed covering layer by continuously charging insulating material particles" this limitation is additionally obvious in view of Hanewald which discloses "that additional grain is fed into the pellet feeding point 15 all while hearth 11 is rotating" (col.3, lines 36 to 53) which is equivalent to the claimed step of "continuously forming a bed covering layer by continuously charging insulating material particles"

Claims 9, 10 and 23 to 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotraba et al in view of Hanewald et al as applied to claims 9, 10

Art Unit: 1742

and 23 to 29 above, and further in view of German patent No.34 21 878 A1 English Translation. The '878 patent (Translation) claims a method for the continuous production of pig iron from iron containing materials in the form of green pellets, briquettes, scabs or other green slugs are fed to a traveling grate and preheated, dried and reduced to sponge iron fed to a coal gasification reactor therein smelted with a continuous outflow of iron and slag (Translation page 1, lines 14 to 23) and further characterized in that before feeding the slugs to the travelling grate a sintered cake of limestone or dolomite is applied in a layer (Translation page 2, lines 6 to 9) and further discloses "Theses slugs are continuously fed to a traveling grate 8... First limestone or dolomite is supplied to the travelling grate and in this way a coat 9 is formed thereon . Afterwards a layer of slugs 7 is brought continuously into the preliminary heating and drying chamber 10 with the travelling grate 8 (Translation page 6, lines 13 to 17 and the Figure), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to carry out the Kotraba et al process in a continuous manner in a rotary hearth furnace, which is moving, in view of German '878 patent method is carried out continuously on a travelling hearth furnace which is also moving in order to avoid the disadvantages of using a shaft furnace (Page 2, line 33 to page 3, line 23).

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 11 to 13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.

Art Unit: 1742

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on June 26, 2003 and November 4, 2003 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, these information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvyn J. Andrews whose telephone number is (571)272-1239. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on (571)272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

mja January 22, 2004 MELVYN ANDREWS

MELVYN EXAMINER

MELVYN ANDREWS

MELVYN ANDREWS